



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,965 03/28/2001		Takao Yoshimine	275745US6 4221		
22850	7590 03/08/200	6	EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELL	CHAMPAGNE, DONALD			
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	SIREE1 RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3622		

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/819,9	35	YOSHIMINE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
			Champagne	3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exterent after - If the find the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properties of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply extended by the Office later than three months after the material part of the properties of the provisions of the provisio	N. 21.136(a). In no ev reply within the stat iod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status									
	Since this application is in condition for allow	his action is r	non-final. for formal matters, pro		nerits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	· <u> </u>								
Applicati	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on 28 March 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accer he drawing(s) b rection is requir	oe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume a. Certified copies of the priority docume a. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdsee the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage				
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate	52)				

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 14 December 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed in the following final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 37-43, 45-54, 56-65, 67-69 and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "A refund quantity to be earned by the content creator" is new matter (at line 7 in claim 37, in similarly in the other independent claims). It is also bad English. First, the word "refund" does not appear in the specification. Said "refund" is presumably intended to be a synonym for the disclosed phrase "profit give back", but that is itself bad English for a share of the profits or revenues, which are commonly called royalty payments. A refund is a give back, which is to say a return (Merriam-Webster's collegiate dictionary). The content creator never provided anything to be given back, so the content creator cannot receive a "refund" or a "give back".

Specification

4. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,965

Art Unit: 3622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims 37-43, 45-54, 56-65, 67-69 and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Logan et al. (US005721827A) in view of Hammons et al. (US006477509B1).
- 7. Logan et al. teaches (independent claims 37, 48, 59 and 97) an apparatus, method and program for determining a refund, the method comprising: accessing and transmitting, via a network (col. 4 line 13 and Fig 1) selected content data (programming), provided by a content creator (content provider, col. 6 line 8) to one or more user locations (players 103, col. 2 line 63 to col. 3 line 9), in response to a request from the one or more user locations for the selected content data/programming (col. 6 lines 45-51); calculating "a refund quantity to be earned by the content creator" (royalties to be paid to the content provider) as a function of the number of transmissions of the selected content data/programming (col. 20 lines 3-20); storing and accumulating "the refund quantity to be earned by the content creator" (royalties to be paid to the content provider) in a content creator information database (account number of the content provider, col. 17 lines 15-19) and determining the credits, which reads on a plurality of levels of an entitled refund the refund quantity translates to, wherein said plurality of levels/credits of an entitled refund includes a fee credit (the reduction in subscription cost).
- 8. <u>Logan et al. also teaches</u> calculating "a refund quantity to be earned by the content creator" (royalties to be paid to the content provider) as a function of whether the selected content includes advertising data when a content provider is also an advertiser (col. 21 lines 2-4).
- 9. The examiner could not find "a plurality of levels" disclosed in the spec. The spec. does disclose "the number of points" (para. [0383] of the published application, US 20020046097A1), which the examiner accepts as substantially equivalent to "a plurality of levels". Hence *credits* in Logan et al. corresponds to the disclosure of "the number of points" as well as corresponds to the claimed "a plurality of levels".

Application/Control Number: 09/819,965

Art Unit: 3622

Page 4

- 10. Logan et al. does not teach that said refund includes merchandise. Logan et al. does teach that said refund/credits comprises an incentive for accepting advertising (col. 7 lines 60-63). Hammons et al. teaches that said refund/incentive includes merchandise, especially merchandise sold by the advertisers (col. 2 lies 15-18). Because Hammons et al. teaches that this will increase income of the system operator (col. 1 lines 27-30 and col. 3 lines 24-26), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Hammons et al. to those of Logan et al.
- 11. <u>Logan et al. also teaches</u> at the citations given above claims 38, 49 and 60; claims 40-41, 51-52 and 61-62, where *subscription cost* (col. 10 line 1) reads on connection fee and use fee.
- 12. <u>Logan et al. also teaches</u> at the citations given above claims 39, 50 and 61 (col. 9 lines 5-11); claims 42, 53 and 64 (col. 9 lines 62-63); and claims 47, 58 and 69, where *royalty payments due to content providers* (col. 15 lines 40-41) reads on contributions.
- 13. <u>Hammons et al. teaches</u> claims 43, 54 and 65 (col. 3 lines 18-23).
- 14. Neither reference teaches (claims 46, 57 and 68) that the ad is placed at the head (beginning) of the content. Because it is common practice to begin programming with advertising, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to the teachings of Logan et al. that the ad is placed at the head (beginning) of the content.

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/819,965

Art Unit: 3622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717.
- 18. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 571-272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 20. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
- 21. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 22. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last

Art Unit: 3622

Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

6 March 2006